Webinar 28 March 2025

INRAE streamgauging rulers: news and feedback



Jérôme Le Coz¹, Mickaël Lagouy¹, Cédric Gouineau², Adrien Vergne³





3 PRÉFET DE LA RÉGION BRETAGNE Liberté Fgatité Fratternité

DREAL Bretagne

Direction régionale de l'environnement, de l'aménagement et du logement

INRAE streamgauging rulers: news and feedback







Jérôme Le Coz Mickaël Lagouy

Cédric Gouineau

Adrien Vergne 2

Contents of this presentation

- 1. The INRAE streamgauging ruler
- 2. Smartphone tool Qràj (CATER COM) 💭 ràj
- 3. Example of a hydrological service / river board partnership



One instrument, different names...

- règle à jauger
- réglette
- règle à Jéjé (INRAE Lyon)
- streamgauging ruler
- transparent rod
- · transparent velocity-head rod
- stream velocity board (Robin Pike, Canada)
- Le Stick (University of Dundee, Scotland)
- bathroom-break rod (DREAL Nouvelle-Aquitaine, France)
- plastic-made Bernoulli (Alex Hauet, France)

What do we want to measure?

Streamflow, or **discharge**: the volume of water passing through the cross-section during a unit of time

Discharge
$$Q = \frac{\text{Volume}}{\text{Time}}$$



Units:

- m³/s
- L/s

What do we want to measure?

Streamflow, or **discharge**: the volume of water passing through the cross-section during a unit of time

Water velocity [m/s]



= Velocity \times Area

 m^2

m/s

0

Measuring depth-average velocities on verticals



Assign wetted areas (of rectangular panels) to velocities



Compute partial discharges (panels), then take the sum



Special case of near-edge panels

The near-edge velocity profile is extrapolated using an edge coefficient k_e .

Typical values for k_e :

- 0.67 (natural sloping bank)
- 0.91 (smooth vertical wall, concrete)
- 0.86 (intermediate situations)

No velocity measurement at the edge! (Abscissa and depth only)



The velocity V_e assigned to the edge sub-section depends on the velocity V_{measured} measured at the nearest vertical:

 $V_e = (2 k_e - 1) V_{\text{measured}}$

Main steps in the field :

1. Choosing the measurement site, setting it up if necessary



Main steps in the field :

- 1. Choosing the measurement site, setting it up if necessary
- 2. Set up the tagline, explore the measurement cross-section



Main steps in the field :

- 1. Choosing the measurement site, setting it up if necessary
- 2. Set up the tagline, explore the measurement cross-section
- 3. Plan the positioning of the verticals



Main steps in the field :

- 1. Choosing the measurement site, setting it up if necessary
- 2. Set up the tagline, explore the measurement cross-section
- 3. Plan the positioning of the verticals
- 4. Measure the initial water level



Staff gauge (station) Temporary ruler Any vertical reference

Date-time and time zone

Main steps in the field :

- 1. Choosing the measurement site, setting it up if necessary
- 2. Set up the tagline, explore the measurement cross-section
- 3. Plan the positioning of the verticals
- 4. Measure the initial water level
- 5. Measure the starting bank: abscissa, depth, type



 $D_{\text{left}} > 0$ vertical, smooth

 x_{left}

 $D_{right} = 0$ sloped, natural

Main steps in the field :

- 1. Choosing the measurement site, setting it up if necessary
- 2. Set up the tagline, explore the measurement cross-section
- 3. Plan the positioning of the verticals
- 4. Measure the initial water level
- 5. Measure the starting bank: abscissa, depth, type
- 6. Measure each vertical: abscissa, depth, velocity



Velocity V (currentmeter aligned perpendicular to the tagline)

Main steps in the field :

- 1. Choosing the measurement site, setting it up if necessary
- 2. Set up the tagline, explore the measurement cross-section
- 3. Plan the positioning of the verticals
- 4. Measure the initial water level
- 5. Measure the starting bank: abscissa, depth, type
- 6. Measure each vertical: abscissa, depth, velocity
- 7. Measure the arrival bank: abscissa, depth, type
- 8. Read the end water level





Discharge measurement

= 20

Low-cost and low-tech streamgauging

Velocity measuring instruments for wading streamgauging: cost

€5,000 - €30,000

Mechanical currentmeters (propeller)

Acoustic Doppler currentmeters (ADC, ADV)

Electromagnetic currentmeters

Acoustic Doppler profilers (ADCP)



Méthode du flotteur < €50



Streamgauging ruler ~ €200 17

Low-cost and low-tech streamgauging

Velocity measuring instruments for wading streamgauging: complexity



Currentmeters

- 1 to 3 velocity points per vertical
- 30 to 40 s exposure time per point

Profilers (ADCP)

- Touchy deployment
- Complex measurement process
- Post-processing of results (QRevInt software)



Streamgauging rulers

- Simple deployment
- Direct measurement of the depth-averaged velocity

Velocity-head rods



existed long before us...



Wilm and Storey (1944)



NIWA, NZ

Drost (1963)





Fonstad et al. (2005) 1st empirical rating

Pike et al. (2016) 2nd empirical rating **19**

Velocity measurement principle

Against the obstacle, the kinetic energy of the flow is transformed into potential energy.

The hydraulic head is :

$$H = h_1 + \frac{V_1^2}{2g} = h_2$$

Hence:

$$V_1 = \sqrt{2g \,\Delta h}$$

With $g = 9.81 \text{ m/s}^2$ (gravity) $\Delta h = h_2 - h_1$

In theory... In practice, a correction is necessary





Velocity measurement principle



Depth: 5-70 cm Velocity: 20-120 cm/s Ruler set on the bed Our field and lab data confirm the relation between depth-averaged velocity V and velocity-head Δh proposed by Pike et al. (2016) :

$$V = 0.641 \sqrt{2g \,\Delta h} - 0.019$$



Development of the INRAE streamgauging ruler

- Validation of the velocity rating and the discharge measurements
- Practical improvements: spirit level, velocity ruler, magnet mounting, etc.
- Estimation of measurement uncertainties
- Field procedure and calculation sheet for velocity and discharge



The model is open-source. It is produced and marketed by AAIS (Sassenage, France).





Discharge comparisons gathered during Francis Pernot's internship (2018)

22

INRAE streamgauging ruler: recent evolutions

With the new upstream ruler (and a cursor to be inserted on the downstream ruler), it is possible to read the velocity-head, head-up and without parallax.







Check the agreement with the velocity-head read at the bottom, and check the precise length of the red ruler (1 m).

INRAE streamgauging ruler: recent evolutions

Evolutions of the spreadsheet 3 discharge uncertainty methods: ISO748, Q+, Flaure

Streamgaug	ging ruler mea	surement		Discharge (L/s):	98.9
Operators:	Clément,	Maxime	Date:	2:	1/04/2022
Stream Name:	La Liep	ovrette	Site Name:	Li	iepvreville
Rod ID:	21	07	Start Bank (Left	Right):	Right
START Time:	08:20	UTC+2	END Time:	08	3:30 UTC+2
START Water Level (cm):	9	.5	END Water Level (cm):		9.5
Vertical	Position (m)	Flow depth (cm)	Velocity head (mm)	Edge coefficient	Observations
1	1.88	15		0.67	
2	2.06	18.5	6		
3	2.17	20	6		
4	2.27	24	13		

systematic

width measurement depth measurement

velocity-head reading

velocity lateral integration

edge coefficients depth lateral integration



Panels —— Flow depth (cm) 0.5 15% 0.4 8% 0.3 Velocity [m/s] 0.2 0.1 0 Depth [m] 2.2 2.8 1.8 2 2.4 2.6 3 3.2 3.4 36 3.8 -0.1 -0.2 -0.3 -0.4 Distance along transect [m]

		Results			
Discharge (m3/s)	Area (m²)	Mean velo	ocity (m/s)	Nb of ve	rticals
0.099	0.458	0.216		13	
	Measured to Total		Discharge un	ncertainty	
Discharge (L/s)	discharge ratio	ISO748	Q+	Flaure	
98.9	97 %	10 %	7 %	18 %	



INRAE streamgauging ruler: recent evolutions

OFB/INRAE field memento updated

Frequently Asked Questions: Check it out!



⚠ 2 🖓 📣 Partager 🗌 Enregistrer

for 2025)

Diffusion around the world

In March 2025, 370 rulers used in 21 countries, including:

- Haiti (3 + 10 ordered, University of Louvain-la-Neuve project in Belgium)
- Laos (14, IRD Toulouse project)
- Canada (11, via Robin Pike, one of the inventors of the transparent velocity-head rod)



Web page: <u>https://riverhydraulics.riverly.inrae.fr/eng/tools/instrumentation/streamgauging-rulers</u> Assistance / infos: <u>contact-raj@listes.inrae.fr</u> (+400 registrees including collective addresses)

The biggest cluster is France, so far

INRAE streamgauging rulers in France (European and overseas territories):

- Guadeloupe, Martinique, French Guyana, Réunion, Mayotte
- New Caledonia, French Polynesia, Wallis-and-Futuna





It is possible to gauge on broad-crested weirs, where the depth would be too shallow for usual currentmeters

Web page: <u>https://riverhydraulics.riverly.inrae.fr/eng/tools/instrumentation/streamgauging-rulers</u> Assistance / infos: <u>contact-raj@listes.inrae.fr</u> (+400 registrees including collective addresses)

Training sessions





Normandy (2024)

And also: OFB, Durance (2021), Quest Iyonnais (2021), ZABR (2024), University Lyon 2, Cotonou (2021), etc.

> FRIEND - Water 9" GLOBAL CONFERENCE SESSION DEFORMATION EN HYDROMETRIE

> > 20 00 AUF

Dakar (2023)

2023

DU 29 SEPTEMBRE AU 3 OCTOBRE

UNIVERSITE CH



Pyrénées-Orientales (2021)



Web page and resources

				SUBSCRIBE TO	NEWS		
RÉPUBLIQUE FRANÇAİSE Lövet Eşatat Franceil	INRAØ	RiverLy	River hydraulics		Your search	Q	
						All sections	
			7 8 9 10 11 12 14 H-1 I FL I I I			cm C	
	de.	S	itreamgauging	rulers			
0	15	20 30 40 50 90	20	100 - 110	1205 C	m/s	ry.

River Hydraulics - INRAE RiverLY > Tools > Instrumentation > Streamgauging rulers

Streamgauging rulers

This short video and this field procedure present the essentials of INRAE streamgauging rulers.

You will find answers to some of your questions in this Frequently Asked Questions document.

Measurements can be entered and processed using this SPREADSHEET or using the MOBILE APP Qràj developped by the CATER Calvados Orne Manche association, also offering user documentation.

https://riverhydraulics.riverly.inrae.fr/eng/tools/instrumentation/streamgauging-rulers

References

Scientific article published in open access in Journal of Hydrology (2024) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2024.131887

Introduces the instrument, the associated tools and the method for calculating uncertainties (adapted from Q+)

	Journal of Hydrology 642 (2024) 131887
	Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
	Journal of Hydrology
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jhydrol
Research papers	
The streamgauging rule	r: A low-cost, low-tech, alternative discharge
measurement technique	
J. Le Coz*, M. Lagouy, F. Pern	ot, A. Buffet, C. Berni
INRAE, UR RiverLy, River Hydraulics, 5 rue de la	Doua 69100 Villeurbanne, France
ARTICLE INFO	A B S T R A C T
This manuscript was handled by Marco Borga, Editor-in-Chief, with the assistance of George Constantinescu, Associate Editor.	The streamgauging ruler, a.k.a. transparent velocity-head rod, is an inexpensive, easy, and quick tool for conducting wading discharge measurements in open-channel flows. It provides reliable velocity and discharge measurements when the right measuring conditions, especially minimum flow velocity, are met. The principle
Keywords:	is simple: depth-averaged velocity can be computed from the water level difference between the upstream and downstream sides of a plastic board placed into the flow perpendicular to the flow direction. The model
Low-cost	developed by INRAE (commercially available for €210) is a little more expensive than previously published
Hydrometry	models but it significantly improves the ease-of-use and measurement quality. Comparison experiments with
Streamgauging Uncertainty	reterence measurements performed in a laboratory flume and at various field sites confirm the accuracy of the semi-empirical velocity rating established by Pike et al. (2016). Over most of the investigated cross-sections
-	the discharge measurements are generally within 10% of the reference discharge, when the velocity is greater
	than 0.2 m/s. However, operator-related effects (site selection, number and distribution of verticals, adjustment
	and reading of the sliding rulers) can lead to larger errors, hence operator training and care are essential.

A first evaluation of the velocity uncertainty related to the velocity-head reading is proposed in the form of an equation that can be used in existing methods for calculating discharge measurement uncertainty. As the method is extremely simple and quick, it is well suited for rapid discharge estimates, training or demonstration, citizen science programmes, or cooperation with services with limited resources and/or lacking specialized expertise in hydrometry. As of July 2024, 304 instruments had been built and released to diverse users around the world, along with a simple discharge computing spreadsheet, a video tutorial, and a field memo.

1. Introduction

Research, management, and decision making relating to water resources and water-related hazards are based on hydrological data, notably streamflow measurements and time series (McMillan et al., 2017). Most often, streamflow time series are derived from water level records using stage-discharge models (rating curves) which are established using streamgaugings, i.e. occasional measurements of stage and It is therefore useful to develop and validate alternative streamgauging systems that are inexpensive, easy to deploy and build, and sufficiently reliable and accurate for the intended purpose of the data. Alternative low-cost techniques may improve discharge measurements in specific site conditions and/or would be more affordable for training purposes and/or use in developing countries or remote regions. Innovative low-cost solutions are often revisited, modernized versions of old and sometimes forsotten instruments and techniques. For in~100 discharge comparisons with conventional gauging methods:

discharge differences generally <10% if the average velocity is greater than 20 cm/s



Other references:

- ISO 748 standard (velocity-area gaugings)
- Quality assurance/guidance documents: WMO streamgauging manual, etc.

Some original projects using the ruler

Shortened model, and protection and carrying system (Scientific Committee of the **French Caving Federation**, Vincent Schneider)





Fédération Française de Spéléologie





Sophie measuring flow depth with the TVHR

The Oxus expedition: 3 female explorers in search of the sources of the Amou Darya, in the Wakhan corridor (Afghanistan). Mission 2024 postponed to summer 2025

Some original projects using the ruler



Citizen science project in schools, inspired by the Canadian project **Adopte un cours d'eau**, with two demonstration sites:

- Lycée polyvalent de Muret (la Lèze, 31)
- Collège de Puygrellier (les Eaux claires, 16)



Fiche terrain



AMONT

Extracts from the protocol adapted for students





32

Context of the smartphone tool project

In the beginning was Excel...

Streamgaug	ging ruler mea	surement		Discharge (L/s):	98.9
Operators:	Clément,	Maxime	Date:	21	1/04/2022
Stream Name:	La Liep	vrette	Site Name:	Li	epvreville
Rod ID:	21	07	Start Bank (Left	Right):	Right
START Time:	•		END Time:		
	08:20	UTC+2		08	3:30 UTC+2
START Water Level (cm):	9	.5	END Water Level (cm):		9.5
Vertical	Position (m)	Flow depth (cm)	Velocity head (mm)	Edge coefficient	Observations
1	1.88	15		0.67	
2	2.06	18.5	6		
3	2.17	20	6		
4	2.27	24	13		



	•					
4	B Distance (m) F	c Profonde	ur Ch	arge	Coefficie
31	Distance (m	"	(cm)	(r	nm)	de rive
32						
33	1,88		15			0,67
34	#N/A					
35	#N/A					
36	2,06		18,5		6	
37	#N/A					
38	#N/A					
39	2,17	Ĭ	20		6	
40	#N/A			-0		
fx	20					^
Ľ)		- (
 ←	<u>»</u> :	/	7	8	9	
()	*				-
€	: %					$\langle \times \rangle$
9	Σ					Ŷ





🦊 ràj

Project components





- Qgis, opensource, cross platform, extensible, dynamic evolution, free. Widely used by local authorities and government departments, at least in France .
- QField, smartphone/tablet counterpart to Qgis, cross platform, active development, documented, easy to learn, free.
- SQLite, stand-alone database, simple and lightweight crossplatform, free, proven, supported by Qgis and QField. Spatialite module provide gives it spatial processing capabilities... It's within the database that the magic happens +^{*} via SQL processing.



Installation

Install (or update) Qfield from your AppStore and download the Qràj zip via the URL



Install it via the 'Import a URL' option using the URL below

https://www.cater-com.fr/fichiers/mediatheque/documents/Qraj.zip



User interface

Map, input forms fitting smartphone screen size. In-situ computation of the discharge share of each vertical.





Outputs

Discharge, wetted area, mean velocity, discharge uncertainty (Q+), measurement report.

<	>	3/3: 1	8	<	>	3/3: 1	
Sessio	n start time			Numbe	er of verticals		
20:19	0:10			13			
Sessio	n start wate	r level		Start ri	ver bank		
10				D			
Sessio	n end time			Startin	g river bank	coefficient	
20:40):10			0.67			
Sessio	n end water	level		Arrival	river bank co	pefficient	
10				0.86			
Refere	nce flow bet	fore (m³/s)		IS0748	uncertainty		
	d flow (m3/o		*				
0.099)			Q+ unc	ertainty		
Gauge	d flow (I/s)			7%			
98.9				Flaure	uncertainty		
Averag	e speed (m,						
0.216				Relativ	e gap Qrefer	ence Qgauged	
				-90.0	1 70		



Add your own logo



Qraj > French version projected in Lambert 93 (EPSG 2154) with the 'IGN Plan v2' layer activated by default, for use in mainland France.

Qraj_fr_wld > French version projected in WGS84/Pseudo Mercator (EPSG 3857) with the 'OpenStreetMap' layer activated by default, for French-speaking users outside mainland France.

Qraj_en_wld > English version projected in WGS84/Pseudo Mercator (EPSG 3857) with the 'OpenStreetMap' layer activated by default, intended for worldwide use.



Next...

- We're already working on improvements for the next version.
- QField is evolving and will no doubt bring new features that could be used by Qràj.
- Qfield has released a plugin based on the QML language (Qt + Javascript). QML can handle graphics, so... and Javascript could probably export CSV files...
- Qgis and QField > external sensors > interfaces for viewing, collecting and processing other hydrometric data?







More and more requests for low-water flow measurements (from river boards, watershed associations, city councils, etc.)

- Awareness after severe drought 2022
- Water volumes that can be abstracted?
- Wastewater treatment plant discharges?
- Pollutant flows?...



The governmental (DREAL Bretagne) hydrometric network **does not cover** all local issues.



DREAL Bretagne Direction régionale de l'environnement, de l'aménagement et du logement

Experimentation on the **Couesnon River**







The **Mont-Saint-Michel** island lies at the mouth of the Couesnon River, at the border between Normandy and Brittany.

Though in Normandy, the catchment has been well instrumented by the Bretons with 3 hydrometric stations!



Insufficient for local stakeholders:

What is the discharge of the Minette* River, a tributary of the Couesnon?



*French for Pussycat...

A half-day in the field together to...



Install the staff gauge + pressure gauge

Identify the gauging cross-section and train to the use of the ruler

<u>Objective</u>: a discharge measurement every 10 days

At the end of summer $2024 \rightarrow a$ first **low-flow rating curve**



\rightarrow discharge hydrograph for Summer 2024

(saved in the national PhyC database, accessible via Hydroportail website)



Next: do the same thing over 3 to 5 summers

\rightarrow set a **regression** against DREAL gauging stations

 \rightarrow extrapolate over the period covered by DREAL records to establish **low-flow statistics** (QMNA5, VCN3 10 years, etc.)

		OLS Regre	ession Res	sults				-
======== Dep. Variab Model: Method: Date: Time: No. Observa Df Residual Df Model: Covariance	Dle: Mo Ations: Ls: Type:	Minette OLS Least Squares n, 17 Mar 2025 17:38:10 124 121 2 nonrobust	R-squa Adj. f F-stat Prob Log-L: AIC: BIC:	ared: <-squared: :istic: (F-statistic) kelihood:):	0.859 0.857 368.6 3.35e-52 675.34 -1345. -1336.	ily discharge (m3/s)	10^{0}
	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]	sured da	++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
const Couesnon Nançon	-0.0003 0.7599 0.0421	0.000 0.046 0.052	-1.023 16.408 0.812	0.308 0.000 0.418	-0.001 0.668 -0.061	0.000 0.852 0.145	Mea: 10	
Omnibus: Prob(Omnibu Skew: Kurtosis:	us):	33.058 0.000 0.123 12.280	B Durbin Jarque Prob(3 Cond.	n-Watson: e-Bera (JB): JB): No.		1.011 445.263 2.05e-97 692.		10 ⁻¹ 10 ⁰ Modeled daily discharge (m3/s)

Example over one year: measured discharge vs. linear model



Comparison of **linear model** (derived from streamgauging ruler measurements) vs. SIMFEN **hydrological model** (modelling based on similar gauged basins)



 \rightarrow for low flows, up to 200% difference in discharge



Conclusion / perspectives

- A promising solution (among others) for obtaining low-flow discharge records with controlled uncertainty (unlike modelling)
- Low investment: approx. €2,500 €3,000 (sensor + ruler + staff gauge + tagline + waders)
- Suitable for players close to the measurement site
- Not suitable everywhere (sufficient flow velocity required)
- <u>Necessary</u>: do a gauging with exploration of the velocity field on the identified section to use the RàJ.

Thank you for your attention !



Acknowledgements

Since 2018, the development of the INRAE streamgauging ruler has been supported by the French Office for Biodiversity (**OFB**), and by the **Vigicrues** central service.

Numerous users from hydrometric services have provided valuable comparative measurements and feedback.

The **AAIS** company (Sassenage, France) manufactures and distributes (on their own account) INRAE streamgauging rulers.

Many thanks to all!





William Ewart Gladstone (1809-1898)

Four times prime minister under the reign of Victoria, and a big fan of the streamgauging ruler!

Seen in St. George's Hall (Liverpool, UK)